


BACKGROUND INFORMATION (ALL DATA ARE FOR 2010 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.)



	NUMBER	RATE	MI
FAMILY SUPPORT PROGRAMS			
Children receiving...			
• subsidized child care, ages 0–12 ¹	36	1.4%	3.4%
• FIP cash assistance ^{1,3}	44	1.1%	6.2%
• Food Assistance Program ^{1,4}	992	25.7%	30.4%
Children with support owed			
• receiving none (% of those owed)	615	15.3%	20.7%
• receiving less than 70% of amount	97	15.8%	29.5%
	325	52.8%	62.6%



Source: Michigan Department of Human Services and Michigan Department of Community Health, Vital Records and Health Data Development Section.



	2000	2010	% CHANGE
POPULATION			
Child population			
0–17	3,762	3,678	-2.2%
• Ages 0-4	952	931	-2.2%
• Ages 5-9	1,059	1,046	-1.2%
• Ages 10-14	1,106	1,059	-4.2%
• Ages 15-19	962	1,017	5.7%

	NUMBER	RATE	MI
ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE			
Children insured	3,554	93.4%	94.9%
Children, ages 0–18 insured by...			
• Medicaid ¹	1,708	44.2%	40.7%
• MICHild	73	1.9%	1.2%
Fully immunized toddlers, ages 19–35 months (for the series 4:3:1:3:3:1) ¹	169	79.0%	70.0%
Lead poisoning in children, ages 1–2			
• tested	72	19.6%	36.2%
• poisoned (% of tested)	0	*	0.8%
Children, ages 1–14, hospitalized for asthma (rate per 10,000) ²	3	*	20.8
CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS			
Babies with a birth defect**	13	6.9%	6.6%
Students in Special Education ¹	289	12.7%	14.4%
Children receiving Supplemental Security Income (rate per 1,000) ¹	64	17.5	19.2

¹ As of December 2010.

² Annual rate and number are based on the three-year period 2007–2009 and only for counties with a total number over 20.

³ Family Independence Program.

⁴ State name for the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, formerly called “food stamps.”

Note: Percentages reflect percent of population unless otherwise noted.

* Sometimes a rate could not be calculated because of low incidence of events or unavailable data.

** Based on three year period 2006-2008.


N/A not available.

See Data Notes and Definitions for details.

TRENDS IN CHILD WELL-BEING 2000–2008/09**



	BASE YEAR		CURRENT YEAR		RANK ¹	MI RATE	PERCENT CHANGE IN RATE	
	NUMBER	RATE	NUMBER	RATE			WORSE	BETTER
Economic Security**	<u>2005</u>		<u>2009</u>					
Children in poverty								
• ages 0–17	503	13.4%	770	21.5%	32	22.2%	60	
• ages 5–17	332	12.1%	495	18.8%	30	20.2%	55	
	<u>2006</u>		<u>2010</u>					
Students receiving free/reduced price school lunches ²	1,063	41.1%	1,416	62.1%	75	46.5%	51	
Child Health	<u>1998–2000</u>		<u>2007–2009</u>					
Less than adequate prenatal care (2008–09)‡	—	—	57	34.3%	56	29.5%		
Low-birthweight babies	13	6.7%	12	7.2%	39 of 81	8.5%	6	
Infant mortality (per 1,000)	1	*	1	*	* of 49	7.6		
Child deaths, ages 1–14 (per 100,000)	0	*	0	*	* of 34	17.4		
Adolescence								
Births to teens, ages 15–19 (per 1,000)	21	46.3	12	24.7	24	33.3		47
Teen deaths (per 100,000)	1	*	0	*	* of 39	55.6		
	<u>2007</u>		<u>2010</u>					
High school dropouts**	21	10.4%	13	6.5%	21 of 80	11.1%		37
Abuse/Neglect (per 1,000)	<u>FY2000</u>		<u>FY2010</u>					
Children in investigated families	112	29.9	248	68.0	22	70.1	127	
Confirmed victims	11	2.9	30	8.2	8	13.8	180	
Children in out-of-home care	6	1.6	5	*	* of 76	5.1		
Education (not proficient in math)	<u>2003</u>		<u>2010</u>					
Fourth grade (MEAP)	39	20.0%	5	2.9%	3	8.5%		86
Eighth grade (MEAP)	73	34.4%	41	24.4%	66	22.0%		29
	<u>2008</u>		<u>2010</u>					
High school students (MME)	111	59.0%	74	41.3%	11	49.6%		30



¹ A ranking of 1 means a county has the “best” rate compared to other counties in the state. Unless noted, the ranking is based on 82–83 counties.
² Family income for eligible students is below 185 percent poverty level.
 * Sometimes a rate could not be calculated because of low incidence of events or unavailable data.
 ** Due to changes in the data measures, comparisons between 2000 and the current year could not be made for some indicators.
 ‡ Due to Michigan’s revised birth certificate, 2008–09 data cannot be compared with those of previous years.
 MME – Michigan Merit Exam instead of the MEAP.
 Beginning in 2007 Michigan high school students have taken the ACT college entrance exam.

Missing bars indicate no change or a rate could not be calculated; a “0” reflects no change. Percentage change is calculated with unrounded rates.